Case 3:19-cr-00928-FLW Document 505 Filed 06/29/22 Page 1 of 3 PageID: 1533 R E C E I V E D

DNJ-CR-023 Order Regarding Use of Video/Teleconferencing for Felony Proceedings (Rev. 10/2021)

## HNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DI	STRICT OF	NEW JERSEY	JUN 29 2022
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	*		AT 8:30M
	*	CDDA NO 10 (	CLERK
V.	*	CRIM. NO. 19-9	928 (FLW)
DERRICK HAYES	*		
	****		
ORDER REGARDING USE OF VI FOR FELONY PI	DEO CONFE	RENCING/TELEC R SENTENCINGS	<u>ONFERENCING</u>
In accordance with the operative (	COVID-19 star	nding orders, this Cou	ırt finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juver	nile) has conse	nted to the use of vide	20
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to cond	duct the procee	eding(s) held today, af	ter consultation
with counsel; and  That the proceeding(s) to be held to the interests of justice, for the following			ithout serious harm
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on the Video Teleconferencing  Teleconferencing, because video	·	·	available for the
following reason:  The Defendant (or the detection of the detection).	Juvenile) is det	ained at a facility lack	ing video

Date:

June 29, 2022

Other:

Freder d. Walfer

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson, Chief Judge United States District Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

Crim. No. 19-928 (FLW)

v.

EXHIBIT TO ORDER REGARDING USE

OF VIDEO

CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR

**SENTENCINGS** 

DERRICK HAYES

The Court finds that the change of plea hearing to be held on June 29, 2022 cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity.
- 2. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through an admission of guilt, and timely sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for this case to be resolved today by guilty plea and for a subsequent timely sentencing. The Court is expected to have a substantial backlog of cases on its docket at the conclusion of this period of emergency. At this time, the Court cannot accurately predict where the defendant's case will be prioritized within that backlogged docket. As a result, the defendant's interest in a speedy resolution of

- his case will be seriously harmed if the proceeding does not occur today.
- 3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to be resolved today by guilty plea and for a subsequent timely sentencing.
- 4. To obtain a resolution to the case prior to the end of the time afforded the Government to prosecute such case under the Speedy Trial Act.